CORROSION RESISTANCE OF TITANIUM BASED COMPOSITES REINFORCED WITH IN SITU TiB PRECIPITATION PHASE

The paper presents the results of corrosion resistance tests carried out on titanium based composites reinforced with different TiB precipitation phase amount, dependent from boron addition in starting powder blends. Precursor powder preparation and processing parameters of conventional powder metallurgical approach influence density and obtained porosity of bulk compacts. The potentiodynamic tests performed in 0.1 M NaCl solution by the technique of linear voltammetry shows visible difference between compared composite structures. Studies have confirmed that the reinforcement phase amount and its morphology influence obtained microstructure and have important effect on the composite corrosion resistance.
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hardness by Innovatest Nexus Vickers tester with 10 indents an applied load of 300 g and loading time 10 s. The density of the composites was measured by immersing the samples in water and using Archimedes’ principle. Density values were next put together with the theoretical density (TD) calculated from the rule of mixtures, to determine the relative density (RD) as a proportion of above, measured in a percentage scale. Corrosion resistance parameters were determined in potentiodynamic tests performed in 0.1 M NaCl solution with a scanning rate of potential 0.5 mV/s.

Fig 1. Precursor with 2 wt.% of boron addition to titanium obtained with the different preparation method where a) represents (BM) and b) (MA) powders morphology

3. Results and discussion

XRD data of sintered compacts obtained from micro and nano precursor powders summarized in Fig. 2 confirmed the presence of Ti(α) matrix and in situ TiB phase occurrence in all examined samples. Boron weight amount in starting precursor composition influence TiB phase volumetric ratio in final composite structure. Additionally, it was also noted that micro precursor powder with increasing amount of boron leads to TiB phase appearance during the sintering procedure. Undesirable but possible to obtained due to reaction kinetics (equation 1-3) between base elements, TiB2 phase occurrence could suggest from its unstable nature not complete reaction [12,13].

\[ \text{Ti} + B = \text{TiB} \quad (1) \]

\[ \text{Ti} + 2B = \text{TiB}_2 \quad (2) \]

\[ \text{Ti} + \text{TiB}_2 = 2\text{TiB} \quad (3) \]

Fig 2. XRD spectra of sinters obtained from different starting precursor powder blends, doted lines presents micro(M) and continues ones nano(N) - based composite structure

Important to mention in this discussion is that the precursor powder character throughout its crystallite size dimension, substrate element distribution to increase structural defects concentration plays in above situation a crucial role, influencing the reaction kinetics for specified treatment conditions. Nano dimensional crystallites allow overreacting the substrates without local boron concentration. Presented data highlight also sharpness and intensity of differences between the reflexes obtained for nano and micro based sinters, especially visible for a higher starting boron concentration when comparing the inner relative intensity value of detected phases. Above discussion suggests also other difference due to applied precursor type like in obtained microstructure. Observations compiled in Fig. 3 reveal in obtained sinters, mainly characterized by the increased with boron amount, porosity level and TiB reinforcement volumetric ratio, microstructural dissimilarity. Different reaction kinetics suggested by XRD analysis could be confirmed by reinforcement phase size ratio observed for analyzed microstructure. For micro precursor powders obtained compacts characterized by a large (even ~40 µm) polygonal grains of TiB phase with smaller one also occurring whisker morphology embedded in Ti(α) matrix. Nano precursor based composites show highly dispersed TiB reinforcement phase with its growing size ratio due to boron amount in starting precursor composition.

TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample description</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Precursor method preparation</th>
<th>Precursor treatment procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2N</td>
<td>2 wt.% of B addition</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Step 1 - Compaction at pressure of 1.8GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>2 wt.% of B addition</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>Step 2 heat treatment 1h/1100°C VAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5N</td>
<td>5 wt.% of B addition</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5M</td>
<td>5 wt.% of B addition</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10N</td>
<td>10 wt.% of B addition</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10M</td>
<td>10 wt.% of B addition</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Precursor type and the boron weight amount in starting composition influence significantly influenced composite densities summarized in Table 2. Increasing simultaneously with boron amount reinforcement phase share, reveals also porosity occurrence. For micro precursor type materials, the voids appearance in sinters (small and scattered) relates to the matrix/reinforcement interface region, unlike to nano precursor that microstructure observation mostly exhibits primary powder aggregates boundary location (large and sporadic). Calculated relative densities (RD) show in the same the dependence with the final reinforcement phase amount that increase diminish determined value. In reverse to above relation remain hardness increase, which average value reliance is founded on the reinforcement phase amount and distribution. Quoted factors dominate more visible estimated value when comparing the precursor type materials. Smaller and occurring more often reinforcement phase, strength the structure more strongly restricting grain boundary movement. In accordance with summarized in Table 2 hardness values, 2N and 10M samples example, reveal that a smaller amount of boron addition into the starting precursor powders, allows to obtain similar strengthening effect. Obtained for the sinters significant hardness differences results reliant from the precursor type, could be in the same explained by a uniformly and highly dispersed boron particle distribution assure at (MA) processing stage. Nanocrystallite size dimension with obtained highly structural defect concentration accumulate through material plastic deformation, leads to an enormous number of reinforcement nucleation centres and total grain boundary mechanism strengthening. Discussed relation remains also connected with the reaction kinetics by available energy from total grain boundary length.

Present in Fig. 4 and summarized in Table 2 polarization curves results suggest for the obtained sinters corrosion potential relation to TiB phase amount. Analysed for sinters samples potentiodynamic test results confirmed corrosion potential shift to more noble values with increased shear of TiB reinforcement phase presence. Discussed relation corresponds also to the corrosion resistance increase by measured lower corrosion current densities. With refer to XRD and microstructural analysis, above relation could be however disturbed by another phase appearance, in our case TiB₂. The third phase with the different potential influence its aggregate value and in the same increases the speed of surface reaction with electrolyte, leading directly to corrosion current densities increase and in the same manifests in lower corrosion resistance properties. Summarized in Table 2 and compared with a microstructural titanium sample data show that corrosion properties of analyzed in 0.1 M NaCl solution composites, could be competitive. Reassuring and concerning to above, final microstructure resulting from a composition, starting substrates preparation and treatment conditions, however, needs to be controlled. A global view on discussed results shows the possibilities to overcome the limitations of the titanium as material, without deterioration of its desirable properties.

### Table 2

Summarized properties of obtained sinters after precursor treatment procedure compared with the reference microcrystalline titanium Ti-G2 sample. Description in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>TD [g/cm³]</th>
<th>RD [%]</th>
<th>HV0.3</th>
<th>I corr [µA/cm²]</th>
<th>V corr [V]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>4.507</td>
<td>99.25±1.4</td>
<td>180±16</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>-0.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2N</td>
<td>4.466</td>
<td>93.16±2.3</td>
<td>650±22</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>-0.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>4.466</td>
<td>95.88±3.3</td>
<td>380±12</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>-0.537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5N</td>
<td>4.405</td>
<td>87.51±2.3</td>
<td>790±42</td>
<td>0.581</td>
<td>-0.461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5M</td>
<td>4.405</td>
<td>86.43±3.6</td>
<td>480±23</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>-0.418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10N</td>
<td>4.302</td>
<td>83.10±2.8</td>
<td>950±65</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>-0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10M</td>
<td>4.302</td>
<td>90.18±3.8</td>
<td>620±26</td>
<td>8.582</td>
<td>-0.648</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 3. The microstructure of sintered compacts obtained from micro(M) – left hand and nano(N) precursor powders.
Fig. 4. Polarisation curves plotted for sinters obtained from different starting precursor in 0.1M NaCl solution

4. Conclusions

In this work titanium powders mixed with different content of boron weight amount was used for a synthesis of in situ TiB reinforced titanium matrix composite. The studies lead to the following conclusions:

- Traditional powder metallurgical approach of cold compaction and sintering of prepared micro and nano precursor allow to obtain nearly fully dense titanium matrix composite sinters with in situ TiB and TiB$_2$ reinforcement phases.
- Precursor powder character throughout it crystallite size dimension, substrate element distribution to increase structural defects concentration influence the reaction kinetics and obtained final microstructure of composites for specified treatment conditions.
- Starting precursor size and composition influence obtained composite density measurements.
- Starting precursor size and composition influence TiB reinforcement phase amount its morphology and obtained in the same measured composites properties alike microhardness and corrosion resistance.
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