ELASTO-PLASTIC MODELS OF POLYCRYSTALLINE MATERIAL DEFORMATION AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

Then elasto-plastic models, used for analysis of polycrystalline material deformation are presented and discussed. Two models are presented in details: the Leffers-Wierzbanowski model and the elasto-plastic self-consistent model, developed by Lipinski, Berveiller and Zaoui. The crystallographic mechanisms of plastic deformation, being the basis of the models, are evoked. The both models have many common elements, they differ, however, in the type of assumed grain-matrix interaction. Some current applications of the described models are shown. They are e.g.: prediction of deformation textures, stress-strain curves and distribution of the stored energy as well as the interpretation of residual stress measurement by diffraction technique.

1. Mechanisms of plastic deformation

Contrary to the elastic deformation, which involves reversible atom displacements, the plastic deformation undergoes by non-reversible mechanisms such as crystallographic slip or (and) mechanical twinning. The both mechanisms are non-reversible, which means that after the release of external forces some permanent deformation stays in the material. Both during the slip and twinning, two parts of crystal (or grain) are sheared one with respect to another. The crystallographic slip is schematically presented in Fig.1. Neighbouring blocs of crystal are relatively displaced. This movement (i.e. slip) occurs on a slip plane (hkl) and along a slip direction [uvw]. Consequently, one defines a slip system [uvw](hkl) and also a family of crystallographically equivalent slip systems <uvw>(hkl). The slip phenomenon occurs due to a movement of a huge number of dislocations on a slip plane. The dislocation movement, and hence the slip itself, appears in relatively narrow volumes of material, called the slip bands (with an average width h); on the other hand, displaced blocs of crystal (with an average width H) are “inactive” in their volume (Fig. 1).

Mechanical twinning consists of the shearing movements of atomic planes, which leads to the formation of a crystal region with a crystal lattice being a mirror im-
Fig. 2. This newly created crystal region is called twin. Let us notice that during a twin formation, all subsequent atom layers of the twin are displaced (by shear movement) with respect to neighbouring ones. “Non-active blocs” do not exit in this case and consequently the shear deformation $\gamma$ is high. By activation of many slip (or twinning) systems one can obtain any imposed deformation of a crystal. It can be shown that at least five independent shear systems (slip or twinning) are necessary to produce a requested deformation. We will see later that besides of slip also crystal lattice rotation is induced by slip or twinning (Fig. 7).

Fig. 3. Macroscopic load $\Sigma_{ij}$ is applied to a material and as a result a local stress $\sigma_{ij}$ is seen on a grain level. The sample deformation is $E_{ij}$, but a local grain deformation is $\varepsilon_{ij}$. It was shown by Hill [2] that a general relation between local and global variables can be written in the form:

$$\dot{\sigma}_{ij} = \dot{\Sigma}_{ij} + L_{ijkl}(\dot{E}_{kl} - \dot{\varepsilon}_{kl}),$$

where $L_{ijkl}$ is an interaction tensor and dot means the time derivative. In the present work we use the convention of the summation on the repeated lower indices (for upper indices we apply a classical summation symbol).

A strict calculation of $L_{ijkl}$ tensor is impossible in a general case, hence some simplifying assumptions have to be done. A considerable progress was done by so called self consistent models and many interesting results were found using them [3–7].

Nevertheless, it was found that in many interesting cases the assumption of an isotropic grain-matrix interaction leads to surprisingly good predictions of material properties. In such the case the $L_{ijkl}$ tensor is replaced by a scalar $L$:

$$\dot{\sigma}_{ij} = \dot{\Sigma}_{ij} + L(\dot{E}_{ij} - \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}),$$

Some of known models can be reduced to Eq. 2 if $L$ takes appropriate values. For example:

a) $L = 0$ leads to the Sachs model [8] (Sachs, 1928); it is assumed that no interactions between grains appear and consequently a homogeneous stress state results: $\sigma_{ij} = \Sigma_{ij}$. 

2. Classification of the models

Mathematical relations describing the elastic deformation (Hooke’s law in tensor form) as well as the mechanisms of plastic deformation (slip and twinning) are well known and verified. On the other hand, we dispose of a complete description of the polycrystalline material structure, e.g., by the orientation distribution function (texture), grain boundary size distribution or the distribution of grain boundary orientations and misorientation function. Consequently, one can try to create a deformation model and use it to predict the macroscopic deformation and texture function knowing elementary deformation mechanisms and microstructure description. This would enable to find the transition from the single crystal to the polycrystalline scale. Such the model will describe in a general way the response of a polycrystalline material (elastic and plastic deformation, texture function...) as a result of applying macroscopic forces (expressed by $\Sigma_{ij}$ tensor) if the initial material microstructure is known. This latter is given by: crystal structure, initial texture, elastic constants, critical stress for slip, initial residual stress, etc.

The basic question, which has to be answered in any model, is: what is the relation between macroscopic variables of the sample ($\Sigma_{ij}, E_{ij}$) and analogical microscopic ones ($\sigma_{ij}, \varepsilon_{ij}$), “seen” by a polycrystalline grain – Fig. 3. Unfortunately, generally it is not possible to find the unknown quantities in an analytical way. That is the reason why we use models.
b) $L \rightarrow \infty$ leads to the Taylor model [9] (Taylor, 1938); the basic assumption of the model is a homogeneous plastic deformation in the sample: $e_{ij}^{pl} = E_{ij}^{pl}$.

c) $L = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\mu} (\text{where } \nu \text{ is the Poisson coefficient and } \mu \text{ is the shear modulus}; L \equiv \mu \text{ for typical value } \nu \equiv 0.3)$ is obtained under the assumption of a purely elastic interaction between a grain and the matrix [10] (Kröner, 1961).

d) $L = 2\mu$ leads to Lin model [11]; its basic assumption is: $E_{ij}^{e} + E_{ij}^{pl} = E_{ij}^{e} + E_{ij}^{pl}$.

e) $L = \alpha \mu$ leads to a compromise description, very close to a real interaction. This is isotropic model with elasto-plastic interaction [3, 12, 13]. The $\alpha$ parameter is called the elasto-plastic accommodation parameter and it describes a partial shape accommodation between grains, caused by some additional local slip. Values of $\alpha$ from the [0.1–0.01] range lead to a good agreement with experimental data. This kind of interaction was used in the Leffers-Wierzbanowski model (LW) [12–17].

Let us recall now some basic quantities, which are common components of any deformation model.

3. Basic quantities in deformation models

Crystallographic slip

* Slip is the elementary mechanism of plastic deformation. It occurs on a crystal plane (hkl) and along a [uvw] direction (situated in this plane). The slip plane is defined by the unit vector $\mathbf{m}$, and slip direction – by the unit vector $\mathbf{n}$. A slip system $\{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}\}$ is usually denoted as: $[uvw](hkl)$. It is very useful to introduce the reference frame connected with the slip system: $x_{i}^{3} = \mathbf{m}, x_{3}^{3} = \mathbf{n}$ (Fig. 4). The resolved shear stress, decisive for a slip system activation, is easily expressed in this coordinates system: $\tau = \sigma^{13}_{13}$.

$$\Delta e^{pl(g)}_{ij} = \Delta \gamma$$ of the plastic displacement gradient tensor ($\Delta e^{pl(g)}_{ij}$) (compare Figs. 4 and 5).

![Fig. 5. Definition of the glide shear $\gamma$ caused by a single slip ($\gamma = \Delta e^{pl(g)}_{ij}$)](image)

* The condition for the slip occurrence is:

$$\tau \geq \tau_{cr}$$

i.e., the resolved shear stress $\tau = \sigma^{13}_{13}$ has to exceed a critical value $\tau_{cr}$ (Schmid law).

The resolved shear stress $\tau = \sigma^{13}_{13}$ on the slip system $\{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}\}$ is calculated as:

$$\tau = \sigma^{13}_{13} = a_{11}a_{33}\sigma_{ij} = m_{j}n_{j}\sigma_{ij},$$

where $\sigma_{ij}$ jest the local stress tensor expressed in the sample reference frame – $\mathbf{S}$ (defined by main symmetry axes of the sample – e.g., rolling, transverse and normal directions in the case of rolling). The coefficients $a_{ij}$ define the transition from the system $\mathbf{S}$ do $\mathbf{g}$. It is practical to define the following quantity:

$$R_{ij} = m_{j}n_{j}$$

characterizing the orientation of $\mathbf{g}$ system with respect to $\mathbf{S}$. Finally, we obtain:

$$\tau = R_{ij}\sigma_{ij}.$$  

Hardening of slip systems

The slip systems are hardened during deformation, which is visualized in the shape of the stress-strain curve (Fig. 6a). If only one slip system is considered, the hardening curve ($\tau_{cr}$ versus $\gamma$) in a relatively wide range of deformation has a linear form – Fig. 6b. The physical reason of the hardening is an intensive multiplication of dislocations during plastic deformation. The dislocations are necessary for crystal glide, but if they are in an excessive number – they block each other and this leads to the increasing of critical stress for slip.

Generally, a multi-slip is observed and in such the case the hardening of the system ("i") depends on shear glides on other active slip systems ("j"):
two types of terms: strong (show that in the first approximation this matrix contains symmetrical. Both theoretical and experimental study

\[ \tau'_{cr} = \tau_0 + \sum_j H^{ij} \gamma^j. \]  

or also:

\[ \Delta \tau_{cr} = \sum_j H^{ij} \Delta \gamma^j \]  

(7)

Grain deformation and lattice rotation

Let us calculate the deformation and rotation (\( \Delta e_{ij}^{pl} \) and \( \Delta \omega_{ij}^{pl} \)), resulting from a glide on one slip system with the shear glide \( \Delta \gamma \). In the slip system reference frame (g) the displacement gradient tensor has only one non-zero component: \( \Delta e_{ij}^{pl} \). This tensor after transformation to the sample reference frame (S) has the form: \( \Delta e_{ij}^{pl} = a'_{ij} \Delta e_{ij}^{pl} \). Taking into account the definition of S and g systems (Fig. 4) we see that: \( a'_{ij} = m_i \) and \( a'_{j3} = n_j \) (\( a_{ij} \)) define the transformation from g do S, while \( a_{ij} \) – from S do g: obviously: \( a_{ij} = a'_{ij} \). Finally:

\[ \Delta e_{ij}^{pl} = R_{ij} \Delta \gamma. \]  

If a multi-slip is occurring, then:

\[ \Delta e_{ij}^{pl} = \sum_s R_{ij}^s \Delta \gamma^s, \]  

(11)

Having \( \Delta e_{ij}^{pl} \), one finds easily grain deformation and rotation – \( \Delta e_{ij}^{pl} \) and \( \Delta \omega_{ij}^{pl} \) (they are symmetric and anty-symmetric parts of \( \Delta e_{ij}^{pl} \), respectively):

\[ \Delta e_{ij}^{pl} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_s (R_{ij}^s + R_{ji}^s) \Delta \gamma^s = \sum_s R_{ij}^s \Delta \gamma^s \]  

(12)

\[ \Delta \omega_{ij}^{pl} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_s (R_{ij}^s - R_{ji}^s) \Delta \gamma^s = \sum_s R_{ij}^s \Delta \gamma^s \]  

(13)

where: \( R_{ij}^s = \frac{1}{2}(R_{ij}^s + R_{ji}^s) \) and \( R_{ij}^s = \frac{1}{2}(R_{ij}^s - R_{ji}^s) \). Let us underline that \( \Delta \omega_{ij}^{pl} \) is a rigid body grain rotation produced by slip. If there was not interaction between a grain and the matrix – crystal lattice orientation would not change (see Fig. 7a,b). However, in general a grain does not rotate as a rigid body, because of the constraints imposed by the neighbouring material and a deformation device. As a consequence, some compensating rotation occurs (\( \Delta \omega_{ij}^{latt} \)) and it changes the grain lattice orientation:

\[ \Delta \omega_{ij}^{latt} = -\Delta \omega_{ij}^{pl}. \]  

(14)

For example, in the tensile test of a single crystal (Fig. 7), the direction defined by a tensile force has to be preserved. This condition imposes a compensating rotation of a crystal, \( \Delta \omega_{ij}^{latt} \), which causes the rotation of a lattice.
Meso-scale (sample) deformation

The deformation of the sample is an average over all grains:

\[ E_{ij}^{pl} = \frac{1}{V_0} \sum_I \varepsilon_{ij}^{pl(I)} V^I, \]

where \( V^I \) is the volume of the \( I \)-th grain, and \( V_0 \) is the sample volume.

Interaction law

Each grain interacts with a neighboring material. Consequently, a local stress, “seen” by a grain is the superposition of the applied macroscopic load (\( \Sigma_{ij} \)) and reaction stress, resulting from the shape incompatibility (between a grain and the matrix). As it was already mentioned, the local stress is described in general by:

\[ \sigma_{ij} = \dot{\Sigma}_{ij} + L_{ijkl} (\dot{E}_{kl} - \dot{e}_{kl}) \]

(Eq. 1). This relation is verified with different precision in various deformation models.

Calculation mode

Calculations are done in incremental way. If we take an example of the rolling deformation and a homogenous material, the applied forces can be approximately presented as:

\[ \Sigma_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \]

where \( \Sigma \) is the stress “amplitude”. In the following step \( k \) the amplitude of applied stress tensor is:

\[ \Sigma = \Sigma^0 + (k - 1) \Delta \Sigma. \]

In each calculation step we find active slip systems. We attribute to each of them elementary glide shear amplitude \( \Delta \gamma \) and we calculate the resulting deformation and lattice rotation as well as the modification of reaction stresses (residual stresses). The calculations are stopped in a given step if no more active slip systems are found (due to their hardening). Then we start a new step \((k+1)\), hence we increase the amplitude of the applied stress tensor of \( \Delta \Sigma \) and we repeat all the operations described above. We stop the calculations if the macroscopic deformation has attained a preset final value.

4. Self-consistent model

We will give a short review of basic quantities and relations used in the elasto-plastic self-consistent model (SCM).

Ellipsoidal inclusion

The basic assumption of the presented SCM is the representation of an individual grain as a three dimensional ellipsoidal inclusion embedded in an equivalent homogeneous material (Fig. 8).

![Fig. 7. Tensile test of a crystal along z direction: a) before slip, b) after slip, c) after fulfillment of the condition of z axis preservation (parallel to applied force)](image)

![Fig. 8. Problem of ellipsoidal inclusion](image)

![Fig. 9. Polycrystalline grain as an ellipsoidal inclusion](image)

Let us assume that we cut out such an inclusion from a homogeneous material (matrix) and we take it outside. Next, we impose a change of its form, which is described by \( \varepsilon_{ij}^t \) tensor. In the following step, we insert back the inclusion to the hole in the matrix; its final deformation is \( \varepsilon_{ij}^c \). The relation between \( \varepsilon_{ij}^c \) and \( \varepsilon_{ij}^t \) was derived by Eshelby [19], and is given by means of the \( S_{ijkl} \) tensor:

\[ \varepsilon_{ij}^c = S_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{ij}^t. \]

The \( S_{ijkl} \) tensor is of basic importance, because it characterizes the interaction of a grain with the sample (matrix).

Description of polycrystal

Polycrystalline grains are presented as ellipsoidal inclusions.

The basic problem in deformation modelling is to find the relation between local (\( \sigma_{ij}, \varepsilon_{ij} \)) and global characteristics (\( \Sigma_{ij}, E_{ij} \)). This relation is less direct in the
In the elastic range a general form of the Hook’s law is used:

\[ \sigma_{ij} = C_{ijkl}E_{kl} \]  
\[ \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij} = C_{ijkl}\dot{e}_{kl}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

where \( C_{ijkl} \) and \( C_{ijkl}^\prime \) are stiffness tensors of the sample and the I-th grain, respectively, and \( E_{kl} \) and \( \dot{e}_{kl} \) are corresponding deformation tensors. In the elasto-plastic deformation range we use analogical relations, but concerning the stress and strain increments:

\[ \Delta\Sigma_{ij} = L_{ijkl}\Delta E_{kl} \]  
\[ \Delta\sigma_{ij} = l_{ijkl}\Delta\varepsilon_{kl}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

where \( L_{ijkl} \) and \( l_{ijkl}^\prime \) are so called tangent modulus of the sample and the I-th grain, respectively, and \( \varepsilon_{kl} \) and \( \dot{\varepsilon}_{kl} \) are stiffness tensors of the sample and the I-th grain, respectively, and \( l_{ijkl}^\prime \) can be calculated if active slip systems are known for this grain.

\[ \Gamma_{ijkl}(r - r') \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

where \( l_{ijkl}(r) \) is the local tangent modulus tensor (“I” grain index was omitted). This tensor can be also written as:

\[ l_{ijkl}(r) = L_{ijkl} + \delta l_{ijkl}(r) \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

where \( \delta l_{ijkl}(r) \) is a variable part depending on position in the material (let us note that it is simply the difference between the local and global tangent moduli: \( \delta l_{ijkl}(r) = l_{ijkl}(r) - L_{ijkl} \)). Introducing the modified Green tensor, \( \Gamma_{ijkl}(r - r') \), the local deformation can be expressed as [4, 22]:

\[ \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}(r) = \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij} + \int_V \Gamma_{ijkl}(r - r')\delta l_{klmn}(r')\dot{\varepsilon}_{mn}(r') \, dV \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

Physical sense of the above equation is explained in Fig. 11a: The local deformation in the point \( r \) depends on deformation \( \varepsilon_{mn}(r') \) and \( \delta l_{klmn}(r') \) tensors in any other point \( r' \); these quantities are linked by the \( \Gamma_{ijkl}(r - r') \) tensor.

Deformation in the inclusion (grain) “I” can be expressed as [4]:

\[ \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij} = \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} T_{ijkl}^{JJ} \delta l_{klmn} \dot{\varepsilon}_{mn} \]  \hspace{1cm} (23)

where \( T_{ijkl}^{JJ} \) tensor describes the interaction between inclusions “I” and “J” and \( N \) is total number of them. Let us note that the above relation is a discretized form of Eq. 22, if:

\[ T_{ijkl}^{JJ} = \frac{1}{V_J} \int_{V_J} \int_{V_J} \Gamma_{ijkl}(r - r') dV dV' \]  \hspace{1cm} (24)
We assume that $\delta_{klmn}$ and $\epsilon_{mn}$ are homogeneous inside each inclusion (grain). The interaction between inclusions “$I$” and “$J$” is schematically shown in Fig. 11b. We will see later that the tensor $T_{ijkl}$ is a very important quantity, because it describes the interaction of the $I$-th inclusion with its environment. This tensor is used in one-site approach which, which is applied in this work.

Concentration tensors

We return now to the relations between local and global variables. Let us assume that there exist concentration tensors for deformation ($A_{ijkl}$) and for stress ($B_{ijkl}$), which enable the calculation of local variables from the global ones:

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_{ij} = A_{ijkl} \tilde{E}_{kl}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{ij} = B_{ijkl} \tilde{\Sigma}_{kl}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (25, 26)

We will consider separately the elastic and elasto-plastic ranges of deformation.

a) Elastic deformation range:

It can be derived [23] that using the $T_{ijkl}$ tensor (one-site approach) and the Hooke’s law (Eq. 18) one obtains:

$$[(A^{l})^{-1}]_{ijkl} = I_{ijkl} - T_{ijkl}^{\parallel} I_{jmn}^{l} (c_{mnkl}^{l} - C_{mnkl}),$$

where $I_{ijkl}$ is the unit tensor. The macroscopic (sample) stiffness tensor, $C_{ijkl}$, appearing in the above equation is expressed as:

$$C_{ijkl} = \sum_{l=1}^{N} f^{l} c_{jmn}^{l} A_{mnkl}^{l},$$

where $f^{l}$ is the volume share of the grain “$I$”. It is clear from the above equations that $A_{ijkl}$ and $C_{ijkl}$ tensors depend one on another. Consequently, they are determined using a self-consistent calculation procedure. Once the $A_{ijkl}$ tensor is calculated, the second concentration tensor can be found using the Hooke’s law:

$$B_{ijkl}^{l} = c_{jmn}^{l} A_{mnop}^{l} (C^{-1})_{opkl}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

b) Elasto-plastic deformation range:

We obtain analogous results as above if $C_{ijkl}$ tensor is replaced by $L_{ijkl}$ and $c_{jmn}$ by $l_{jmn}^{l}$ one. Moreover, Eq. 19 or its equivalent form:

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{ij} = L_{ijkl} \tilde{E}_{kl}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{ij} = l_{jkl}^{l} \tilde{\epsilon}_{kl},$$

has to be used instead of the Hooke’s law.

As a result, the concentration tensor is:

$$[(A^{l})^{-1}]_{ijkl} = I_{ijkl} - T_{ijkl}^{\parallel} l_{jmn}^{l} (l_{mnkl}^{l} - L_{mnkl})$$

with the sample tangent modulus tensor defined as:

$$L_{ijkl} = \sum_{l=1}^{N} f^{l} l_{jmn}^{l} A_{mnkl}^{l}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

The concentration tensor for stress is:

$$B_{ijkl}^{l} = l_{jmn}^{l} A_{mnop}^{l} (L^{-1})_{opkl}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)

Criteria of slip system selection

Three criteria of slip system selection were examined:

a) a set of systems minimizing the plastic work is chosen (MW criterion),

b) five systems, which exceed maximally the Schmid’s criterion are selected (ML criterion),

c) a series of systems selected step by step is active: the shear glide is very small and constant for each of them and each active system exceeds maximally the Schmid’s law in a given moment (LW criterion).

In the course of many calculations it was found that the three above criteria lead to rather similar deformation and texture predictions.

5. Exemplary results obtained with the models

The models can be used for prediction of important material characteristics, e.g.:

- crystallographic texture,
- hardening curves (e.g., stress-strain curves),
- residual stresses,
- plastic flow surfaces,
- dislocation density and stored energy.

Exemplary comparison of predicted and experimental rolling textures for low carbon steel is shown in Fig. 12. The <110>\{111\} slip systems and SCM were used. The qualitative agreement between predicted and experimental textures is very good.

Another important characteristic is the stress-strain curve – Fig. 13. Tensile and compression tests were considered. During each of them the increase of loading force was stopped many times for several minutes. The points obtained in such a way correspond to equilibrium condition or to infinitely slow deformation. They agree very well with the model predictions (SCM), which is not rate sensitive (not visco-plastic) but elasto-plastic one.
Fig. 12. Comparison of predicted (SCM, LW criterion, $E_{eq} = 60\%$) and measured orientation distribution function for cold rolled low carbon steel, $E_{eq} = 105\%$); $\varphi_2$-const sections are shown.

Fig. 13. Predicted stress-strain curves in tension and compression tests for low carbon steel (broken curves) and experimental curves obtained during fast deformation (solid lines). The increase of external load was stopped several times during experiment and the equilibrium points (corresponding to infinitely slow deformation) agree perfectly with the predictions of elasto-plastic SCM.

Fig. 14. Texture of low carbon steel (contours) and its relation to the stored energy: a) rolling texture, b) recrystallization texture. The maximum of the stored energy distribution (black area), predicted by SCM is shown; $\varphi_2 = 45^\circ$ section is shown.
The third example, we present here, is the prediction of the stored energy distribution (proportional to the dislocation density) versus crystal orientation in aspect of texture change during recrystallization – Fig. 14. The low carbon steel was considered and the predictions were obtained using SCM. In the same figure the rolling and recrystallization textures of low carbon steel are shown. It is visible that the γ texture fibre (horizontal one) is reinforced and the α fibre (vertical one) is reduced during annealing. We observe that the model predicts the high values of the stored energy for the γ fibre. It is generally accepted that recrystallization nuclei form in grains with high stored energy and this could explain the observed texture change [24].

Finally, let us mention about the application of deformation models in the field of residual stress analysis – Fig. 15. Two strain components induced by the external force in each phase of the austenoferritic steel were measured and calculated using SCM. The neutron diffraction was used for strain measurement. A very good agreement between prediction and experiment confirms the model and its parameters.

6. Conclusions

The presented models of elasto-plastic deformation are useful tools for the study of mechanical properties of polycrystalline materials. They enable predictions of macroscopic material properties (e.g., texture, stress-strain curve, plastic flow surfaces, dislocation density, final state of residual stress) basing on its micro-structural characteristics (crystallography of slip systems, hardening law, initial residual stress state, etc.). Such the models are precious tools for technologists searching for optimal material properties.
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